

Lab06 - Static Analysis

Dr. David J. Coe Software Safety and Security Engineering Lab Electrical and Computer Engineering Department

Outline

- Introduction to Static Analysis
- Coding Standards
 - Sample Rules
- Static analysis with open source programs

 Using Cppcheck
- Lab06
 - Static Analysis of ec3.cpp
- Discussion
- Summary



Introduction to Static Analysis



Introduction to Static Analysis - 1

- What is **Static Analysis**?
 - The methodology used when analyzing software without actually running the program
 - Requires access to the source code
- The purpose of static analysis is to identify common types of defects that may impact correct operation or security



Introduction to Static Analysis - 2

- Static analysis uses various methods to accomplish its goal including:
 - Adherence to coding standards
 - Data flow analysis
 - Control flow analysis
 - Input validation
 - Error handling
- These areas can help identify coding errors that could lead to undesirable and unsafe output conditions



Coding Standards



Coding Standards - 1

- Historically, coding conventions have focused on improving readability and maintainability by advocating
 - Consistency of presentation
 - Consistency of naming of variables, constants, functions, etc.
 - Consistency of documentation
 - Computational complexity: cyclomatic complexity
 - Etc.
- Coding conventions have evolved into *coding standards* to address *defects* that may manifest during operation
 - Runtime issues: use of uninitialized variables
 - Security issues: buffer overflow
 - Safety issues: unreachable code
- Some coding standards are intended for new development only, others may be applied to clean up legacy code



Coding Standards - 2

• Motor Industry Software Reliability Association

- MISRA C and MISRA C++ coding standards
- MISRA C goals
 - Safety, security, portability, and reliability of code implemented in C (according to Wikipedia)

• Joint Strike Fighter C++ Air Vehicle

- JSF++AV
- <u>http://www.stroustrup.com/JSF-AV-rules.pdf</u>
- High Integrity C++
 - https://www.perforce.com/blog/qac/high-integrity-cpp-hicpp
- CERT C / CERT JAVA
 - <u>https://wiki.sei.cmu.edu/confluence/display/c/SEI+CERT+C+Coding+Standard</u>
 - Security-focused coding standards
- MathWorks Automotive Advisory Board
 - MAAB Control Algorithm Modeling Guidelines Using MATLAB, Simulink, and Stateflow
- Like programming languages themselves, these standards evolve over time





MISRA C

- MISRA C Rule 14.1
 - There shall be no unreachable code
- MISRA C Rule 14.7
 - A function shall have a single point of exit at the end of the function
- MISRA C Rule 9.1
 - All automatic variables shall have been assigned a value before being used
- MISRA C Rule 20.4
 - Dynamic heap allocation shall not be used

https://pubweb.eng.utah.edu/~cs5785/slides/08.pdf



MISRA C

- MISRA C Rule 5.2
 - Identifiers in an inner scope shall not use the same name as an identifier in an outer scope, and therefore hide that identifier

int total;

int foo(int total) { return 3 * total; }

https://pubweb.eng.utah.edu/~cs5785/slides/08.pdf



MISRA C

- MISRA C Rule 17.6
 - The address of an object with automatic storage shall not be assigned to another object that may persist after the first object has ceased to exist

```
int * foo(void) {
    int x;
    int *y = &x;
    return y;
}
```

https://pubweb.eng.utah.edu/~cs5785/slides/08.pdf



JSF++ AV

- AV Rule 1
 - Any one function (or method) will contain no more than 200 logical source lines of code (L- SLOCs).
- Rationale: Long functions tend to be complex and therefore difficult to comprehend and test.

http://www.stroustrup.com/JSF-AV-rules.pdf



JSF++ AV

- AV Rule 206 (MISRA Rule 118, Revised)
 - Allocation/deallocation from/to the free store (heap) shall not occur after initialization.
- Rationale: repeated allocation (new/malloc) and deallocation (delete/free) from the free store/heap can result in free store/heap fragmentation and hence nondeterministic delays in free store/heap access.

http://www.stroustrup.com/JSF-AV-rules.pdf





- While LDRA is a useful tool, it is one of many commercial products that are not free.
 - Luckily there are many open source tools available as well for the frugal developer.
- Be aware, commercial or otherwise, no one tool will always find all of the errors in a program.
 - It is advisable to develop testing plans that make use of several different tools.



- Some of the available open source static analysis tools include:
 - Cppcheck
 - Has a focus on detecting undefined behavior
 - Clang
 - Compiler that includes a static analyzer
 - Eclipse
 - IDE that includes static analyzers
 - including cppcheck with a plugin called cppcheclipse



- We will use Cppcheck in the following example.
 - The developers of Cppcheck warn that it focuses on bugs over stylistic issues and tries to avoid false positives.
 - False positives are errors that are reported but are not actually errors on review.
 - This means it is likely to not report some of the more questionable bugs.



- The bugs that Cppcheck focuses on include:
 - Dead pointers
 - Division by zero
 - Integer overflows
 - Invalid bit shift commands
 - Invalid conversions
 - Memory management

- Null pointer
 dereferences
- Out of bounds checking
- Invalid usage of STL
- Uninitialized variables
- Writing const data



Lab06 – Static Analysis of ec3.cpp



Lab06 Overview

- For Lab06, you will analyze sample code using two different compilers and an open source static analysis tool
- Detailed instructions for Lab06 are available within Canvas under the Modules tab



Lab06 – ec3.cpp Source Code

```
void f(int a);
bool g(int& b);
int main(int argc, char* argv[])
  char a[10];
  // Array bounds error
  a[10] = 0;
  // Array bounds error via loop
  for(int k = 0; k <= 10; k++)
  {
    a[k] = k;
  }
  // Array bounds error via loop
  int m = 0;
  while (true)
  {
     a[m] = m; m++;
  }
  // Pointer variable p uninitialized
  int* p;
  *p = 5;
  // Null pointer dereference
  int^* x = 0;
  *x = 1;
  // Trigger path in function g that does not return Boolean
  int c = -1;
  if (g(c)) c = 2;
  else c = 3;
  // Memory leak
  int* q = new int;
  // Memory leak
  int* r = new int[4];
  delete r;
  return 0;
```

```
// Unused function f
void f(int a)
{
    a = a + 1;
    // No side effect outside function
}
```

// Function g has one path that does not return a Boolean value bool g(int& b) { if (b > 0) return false;

```
b = 0; // Exit without returning a Boolean
```

```
}
```

• The source code for ec3.cpp

• Static analysis does not require compilation



Discussion - 1

- The static analysis of code is critical during code review to help ensure the proper operation of the program.
 - However, no single tool is guaranteed to find all bugs existing in a program.
 - Be prepared to use multiple tools to help find bugs and still need to perform additional testing to find them all.



Discussion - 2

- Strict adherence to coding standards can help avoid many bugs and errors.
- A lot of static analyzers focus on checking for compliance with these coding standards, while others focus on various types of bugs.



Discussion - 3

- False positives are very common with static analysis.
 - Many tools are considered 'noisy' and err on the side of caution when reporting errors.
 - It is up to the developer to sort through the reported errors and determine if they are valid or not.
- False negatives occur when a bug exists, but is not reported.
 - These are a more severe problem and more motivation behind using multiple tools for analysis.



Summary

- Static analysis
 - Code review with access to source code that helps ensure it is conforming to coding standards
 - Tests the robustness of code to safeguard against attacks and failure
- Commercial static analysis tools
 - LDRA is one of many available to help automate code review and standards compliance
 - Help to prove to customers that product requirements have been met
- Open source static analysis tools
 - Free options for code review that are useful with larger projects with fewer of the bells and whistles

